

Riki Maulana Baruwaso

Catholic Theology and the Dispute over the Image of Science

**A Critical Assessment of the Scientific
Character of Catholic Theology**



PETER LANG

Riki Maulana Baruwaso

Catholic Theology and the Dispute over the Image of Science

A Critical Assessment of the Scientific Character of Catholic Theology



PETER LANG

**Bibliographic Information published by the
Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data is available online at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A CIP catalog record for this book has been applied for at the Library of Congress.

ISBN 978-3-631-88560-4 (Print)
E-ISBN 978-3-631-88561-1 (E-PDF)
E-ISBN 978-3-631-88562-8 (EPUB)
10.3726/b20016

© Peter Lang GmbH
Internationaler Verlag der Wissenschaften
Berlin 2022
All rights reserved.

Peter Lang – Berlin · Bern · Bruxelles · New York · Oxford · Warszawa · Wien

All parts of this publication are protected by copyright. Any utilisation outside the strict limits of the copyright law, without the permission of the publisher, is forbidden and liable to prosecution. This applies in particular to reproductions, translations, microfilming, and storage and processing in electronic retrieval systems.

This publication has been peer reviewed.

www.peterlang.com

Acknowledgment

This book is a dissertation that I wrote at the Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität (LMU) in Munich. I would like to take the opportunity to thank the people who made this work possible. First of all, I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Professor Emeritus Dr. Armin Kreiner, who accompanied me on my scientific path at the LMU Munich and helped me deepen a critical spirit, and to Professor Dr. Thomas Schärfl-Trendel, who critically examined my work. I would also like to thank special people, without whom I would not have had the opportunity to come and study in Bavaria: my Bishop, Ignatius Kardinal Suharyo; my benefactors, Professor Dr. Harald Suermann, Dr. Annette Meuthrath, Dr. Marco Moerschbacher, and Mrs. Nadine Albrecht—from Missionswissenschaftliches Institute (MWI) Aachen; Dr. Markus Lubert SJ and Dr. Roman Beck—from the Albertus Magnus-Program; and Dr. Heinrich Geiger and Mrs. Karin Bialas—from Katholischer Akademischer Ausländer-Dienst (KAAD) Bonn. My sincere gratitude goes also to Sr. Nancy Murphy RSCJ for helping me with my English. I am very grateful for the support of many friends in the Georgianum, the place that I called home in Munich: Professor Emeritus Dr. Winfried Haunerland, Professor Dr. Yves Kingata, Dr. Christoph Hartmüller, Dr. Hubert Schröcker, Dr. Dominik Grässlin, Dr. Dr. Josef Otter, Stephan Komischke Lic. iur. can., and Christian Staude Mag. Theol. There are many other friends, whose names I cannot mention here. I thank them from the bottom of my heart. I would like to thank Dr. Hermann Uhlein from Peter Lang Publisher for his kindness and professionalism and also thank Mr. Aaditya Anand M for his help during the production process. Last but not least, I thank my parents, my family, and my friends in Indonesia, who have always supported me and continue to support me in their very special way. To them, I dedicate this work.

Riki Maulana Baruwaso
Munich, June 2022

Contents

Introduction	11
 I. Catholic theology	 17
I.1. Introduction	17
I.2. Identifying Catholic theology	18
I.3. Two formal concepts of Catholic theology	23
I.3.1. Theology practiced by Catholics	23
I.3.2. Theology practiced in full communion with the Catholic church	26
I.4. Theology as <i>scientia fidei</i>	28
I.4.1. Science of faith and being critical	29
I.4.2. Openness to historical-critical method?	32
I.4.3. Institutional justification of faith	34
I.5. The role of revelation, tradition and infallibility in Catholic theology	35
I.5.1. It is all about divine revelation	35
I.5.1.1. Revelation marks the boundary of theology	36
I.5.1.2. An open access to revelation?	41
I.5.2. Tradition does matter	46
I.5.3. Infallibility	51
I.6. Conclusion	53
 II. The demarcation of science	 55
II.1. Introduction	55
II.2. About the demarcation problem	56
II.2.1. Unsuccessful project?	56
II.2.1.1. A denial of the problem	57
II.2.1.2. Critics against the denial	58

II.2.1.3. A possible common view	60
II.2.2. Considering progress in philosophy	61
II.2.2.1. Pros and cons	61
II.2.2.2. Possible progress in philosophy	65
II.2.3. Pursuing the project	66
II.3. Two competing scientific research programs	68
II.3.1. Standpoint of scientists	68
II.3.1.1. Elementary particle physicists and predictive success	70
II.3.1.1.1. Dilemma	72
II.3.1.1.2. An attempt to overcome the dilemma	74
II.3.1.2. String theorists and nonempirical appraisal	74
II.3.1.2.1. Nonempirical issues	76
II.3.2. The philosophical issue	77
II.4. The role of prediction in science	80
II.4.1. Predictive success	80
II.4.2. Unintentional discovery	82
II.4.2.1. The intervention of the scientific community	85
II.4.2.2. The attitude of the individual scientist	85
II.4.2.3. Success stories of scientists	87
II.5. The role of explanation in science	88
II.5.1. Understanding explanation	88
II.5.2. Explanation-oriented science	91
II.6. Scientists define science?	92
II.7. Conclusion	95
 III. Karl Popper's critical rationalism and Catholic theology	97
III.1. Introduction	97
III.2. How is science possible?	98
III.2.1. Popper's interpretation of Kant's transcendental project ..	98
III.2.2. Popper's proposal	103

III.3. Science and critical rationalism	110
III.3.1. Critical method in science	110
III.3.2. Against uncritical/irrationalist approach	115
III.3.3. Acceptance of the critical method	119
III.4. Science and metaphysics	122
III.4.1. Science and metaphysical research programs	122
III.4.2. Science and metaphysical realism	127
III.5. A discussion about Popper's view of science	134
III.6. Consequences for Catholic theology	145
III.7. Conclusion	155
 IV. Change in Catholic theology	 157
IV.1. Introduction	157
IV.2. Theology changes?	157
IV.2.1. God and certainty in theology	158
IV.2.2. Theological change in historical records and two tendencies of historicists	164
IV.2.3. Freedom and the role of the church's authority in theological change	170
IV.2.4. A narrow space for change in theology	173
IV.3. Theological progress and critical realism	174
IV.3.1. Critical realism as criticism of theological thinking: A critical-rationalist perspective	175
IV.3.2. Critical realism as support for theological progress	181
IV.3.2.1. Parallelism between doing science and theology	186
IV.3.2.2. Asymmetrical relationship between science and theology	188
IV.3.2.3. Analogy as an argument and psychological boost	191
IV.4. Theological change and rationality	193

IV.4.1. Rationality and changeability 193

IV.4.2. Rational-equalitarian discussion 198

IV.4.3. In the case of Catholic theology: Can it be rational? 204

IV.5. Conclusion 210

Conclusion 213

Bibliography 215

Riki Maulana Baruwaso

Catholic Theology and the Dispute over the Image of Science

The dawn of the new image of science, since Galileo, has called into question the Aristotelian concept of science and, thus, the old claim of the scientific profile of theology. This work deals with the idea that theology is not a science. Using Karl Popper's critical rationalism, it searches for explanations as to why it is difficult, if not impossible, for (Catholic) theology to be (regarded as) a science; and why it is still possible to speak of rationality in the context of Catholic theology.

The Author

Riki Maulana Baruwaso, born in 1982, studied philosophy and theology in Jakarta, Rome and Munich. He received his Doctorate in Theology from the Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität in Munich. He teaches at the Driyarkara School of Philosophy in Jakarta.

ISBN 978-3-631-88560-4



9 783631 885604

www.peterlang.com